

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/758,993	01/12/2001	Richard B. Greenwald	213.1079-CIP3	9994	
22856	7590 07/30/2003				
MUSERLIAN, LUCAS AND MERCANTI, LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
600 THIRD A NEW YORK,	· ·		RUSSEL, JEFFREY E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654		
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	19	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· Advisory Action	09/758,993	GREENWALD ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey E. Russel	1654				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ss			
THE REPLY FILED 24 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
, , ,	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See attachment</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed a	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 31.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-16, 18-30, and 32-37.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examir	ier.			
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10.						

Art Unit: 1654

1. The proposed amendment, if entered, would have overcome the objections and rejections set forth in paragraphs 2-4 of the final Office action.

- 2. The proposed amendment canceling claim 9 would have raised new issues under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because claims 10 and 11 would have been dependent upon a canceled claim. The proposed amendment to claim 37 would have raised new issues under 35 U.S.C. 102. Applicants propose to delete from claim 37 the limitation inserted into the definition of L<sub>1</sub> in order to distinguish the claim over the Zeir et al article. The proposed amendments to claim 37 result in a claim which is identical in scope with originally filed claim 1, and would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over the Zeir et al article for the same reasons that originally-filed claim 1 was rejected over the same reference.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey E. Russel at telephone number (703) 308-3975. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Brenda Brumback can be reached at (703) 306-3220. The fax number for Art Unit 1654 for formal communications is (703) 305-3014; for informal communications such as proposed amendments, the fax number (703) 746-5175 can be used. The telephone number for the Technology Center 1 receptionist is (703) 308-0196.

Jeffrey E. Russel Primary Patent Examiner Art Unit 1654

I lund

JRussel July 29, 2003